arXiv:1003.1817v1 [math.FA] 9 Mar 2010

ORBITS IN SYMMETRIC SPACES, II

N. J. KALTON, F. A. SUKOCHEV, AND D. ZANIN

Abstract. Suppose E is fully symmetric Banach function space on $(0,1)$ or $(0,\infty)$ or a fully symmetric Banach sequence space. We give necessary and sufficient conditions on $f\in E$ so that its orbit $\Omega(f)$ is the closed convex hull of its extreme points. We also give an application to symmetrically normed ideals of compact operators on a Hilbert space.

1. Introduction

Let I be either the interval $(0,1)$ or the semi-axis $(0,\infty)$ and suppose $f\in L_1(I)+L_\infty(I)$. We define the *orbit* $\Omega(f)$ of f to be set of Tf where $T:L_1+L_\infty\to L_1+L_\infty$ is an operator with $\|T\|_{L_1\to L_1},\|T\|_{L_\infty\to L_\infty}\le 1$ (see [9,12]). Then it follows from the Calderón-Mitjagin Theorem [1,3,9,11] that $\Omega(f)$ can be characterized as the set of $g\in L_1+L_\infty$ such that

$$\int_0^t g^*(s)\,ds\le\int_0^t f^*(s)\,ds,\qquad 0<t<\infty \tag{1}$$

where as usual f^* is the decreasing rearrangement of $|f|$ (see §2 for definitions). This may be written $g\preceq f$ where $\preceq$ is the Hardy-Littlewood-Polya ordering. Thus E is an exact interpolation space if and only if it is fully symmetric (see §2).

The extreme points of $\Omega(f)$, which we denote $\partial_e\Omega(f)$ were obtained in [13] (for case of spaces on $(0,1)$) and [4] (for the general case). Except in the special case when $I=(0,\infty)$ and $E\supset L_\infty$ these are given by $\partial_e\Omega(f)=\{g:\ g^*=f^*\}$ (see §2 for full details; in the exceptional cases the extreme points from a subset of this set). Let $\mathcal{Q}(f)$ be the convex hull of the set $\{g:\ g^*\le f^*\}$. Then it is clear that if E is fully symmetric and $f\in E$ the closure $\mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ of $\mathcal{Q}(f)$ in E coincides with the closed convex hull of $\partial_e\Omega(f)$.

In [12] it was shown for the case of $I=(0,1)$ that the orbit $\Omega(f)$ is always weakly compact in $L_1(0,1)$. It follows from results in [6] that if E is an order-continuous (equivalently, separable) symmetric function space (which is necessarily fully symmetric) and $f\in E$ then $\Omega(f)$ is weakly compact in

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 46B70, 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Fully symmetric spaces, Hardy-Littlewood majorization, orbits.

The first author acknowledges support from NSF grant DMS-0555670; the second and third authors acknowledge support from the ARC.

E. Thus it is an immediate consequence of the Krein-Milman theorem that $\mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ coincides with $\Omega(f)$.

For the case of non-separable fully symmetric spaces the situation is less clear. The example $E = L_\infty$ and $f = 1$ shows that $\mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ may still coincide with $\Omega(f)$. This problem was first investigated by Braverman and Mekler [2] for the unit interval i.e. $I = (0, 1)$. They gave a sufficient condition for $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ in terms of the behavior of the dilation operators σ_τ (see §2 for the appropriate definitions). Precisely they showed that if E is a fully symmetric Banach function space on $(0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \frac{\|\sigma_\tau\|_{E\to E}}{\tau} = 0$$

then $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ for every $f \in E$. This condition is, however, not necessary since it may fail in separable symmetric spaces (e.g. $E = L_1$).

Recently two of the current authors [14] found a necessary and sufficient condition for the similar problem concerning the positive part of the orbit. If $f \geq 0$ we denote by $\Omega_+(f)$ the set $\{g : \ g \in \Omega(f), \ g \geq 0\}$. In [14] it was shown that for a fully symmetric Banach function space E with a Fatou norm (sometimes called a weak Fatou property) that if $f \in E_+$ then $\Omega_+(f)$ coincides with the closed convex hull of its extreme points if and only if a local Braverman-Mekler type condition holds. If $I = (0, 1)$ or if $I = (0, \infty)$ and E is not contained in $L_1(0, \infty)$ this condition takes the form

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \frac{\|\sigma_\tau(f^*)\|_E}{\tau} = 0. \tag{2}$$

If $I = (0, \infty)$ and $E \subset L_1$ we must replace (2) by

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \frac{\|\chi_{(0,1)}\sigma_\tau(f^*)\|_E}{\tau} = 0. \tag{3}$$

The results of [14] imply that under the same hypotheses on E (full symmetricity and a Fatou norm) that (2) and (3) are sufficient for $\mathcal{Q}_E(f) = \Omega(f)$.

Our main result in this paper is to show that, indeed, if E is a fully symmetric Banach space with a Fatou norm on $(0, 1)$ or $(0, \infty)$, (2) and (3) are necessary and sufficient for $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$. These results are Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 below. We also establish the corresponding result for sequence spaces in Theorem 4.5; sequence spaces were not covered in [14] so we are also able to complete the picture for the positive part of the orbit.

We conclude the paper with an application to orbits in symmetrically normed ideals of compact operators on a Hilbert space.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we present some definitions from the theory of symmetric spaces. For more details on the latter theory we refer to [1,9,10].

Let I denote either $(0,1)$ or on $(0,\infty)$ with Lebesgue measure μ. If $f \in L_1(I)+L_\infty(I)$ we denote by f^* the decreasing rearrangement of f, i.e.

$$f^*(t)=\inf_{\mu A=t}\sup_{s\in I\setminus A}|f(s)|.$$

If f,g are functions in L_1+L_∞ we write $g \preceq f$ if

$$\int_0^t g^*(s)\,ds \le \int_0^t f^*(s)\,ds, \qquad t\in I.$$

This defines the Hardy-Littlewood-Polya ordering.

A *symmetric Banach function space* E on I is a linear space with $L_1\cap L_\infty \subset E \subset L_1+L_\infty$, with an associated norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ such that $(E,\|\cdot\|_E)$ is complete and if $f\in E,\ g\in L_1+L_\infty$ with $g^*\le f^*$ then $g\in E$ and $\|g\|_E\le\|f\|_E$. We will use E_+ to denote the positive cone of E i.e. $\{f:\ f\in E,\ f\ge 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$. We will also assume the normalization that $\|\chi_{(0,1)}\|=1$. Let $\varphi_E(t)=\|\chi_{(0,t)}\|_E$ be the *fundamental function* of E.

E is said to have a *Fatou norm* if for every sequence $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of nonnegative functions such that $f_n\uparrow f$ a.e. with $f\in E$ we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|f_n\|_E=\|f\|_E$.

A symmetric Banach function space E is said to be *fully symmetric* if and only if $f\in E,\ g\in L_1+L_\infty$ with $g\preceq f$, then $g\in E$ and $||f||_E\le||g||_E$. E is fully symmetric precisely when E is an exact interpolation space for the couple $(L_\infty(I),L_1(I))$ by the Calderón-Mitjagin theorem [3,11]. In this paper we will only consider fully symmetric Banach function spaces.

We will need the following inequality can be found in [9], Theorem II.3.1. If $f,g\in L_1+L_\infty$, then

$$(f^*-g^*)\preceq(f-g)^*. \tag{4}$$

As a consequence if E is fully symmetric and $f,g\in E$ we have

$$\|f^*-g^*\|_E\le\|f-g\|_E. \tag{5}$$

If E is a fully symmetric Banach function space and $f\in E$ we define the orbit of f by $\Omega(f)=\{g:\ g^*\preceq f^*\}\subset E$. The set of the extreme points of the set $\Omega(f)$ is well-known (see [4,13]) and, if $I=(0,1)$ or $I=(0,\infty)$, and E does not contain L_∞ it is given by

$$\partial_e(\Omega(f))=\{g\in L_1+L_\infty:\ f^*=g^*\}.$$

If $I=(0,\infty)$ and E contains L_∞ we must make a small correction:

$$\partial_e(\Omega(f))=\{g\in L_1+L_\infty:\ f^*=g^*,\ |g(t)|\ge\lim_{s\to\infty}f^*(s)\text{ a.e. }\}.$$

We define $\mathcal{Q}(f)$ to be the convex hull of the set $\{g \in L_1 + L_\infty : \ g^* \leq f^*\}$. We will denote by $\mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ the closure in E of $\mathcal{Q}(f)$. This is easily seen to coincide with the closed convex hull of $\partial_e\Omega(f)$. Thus $\mathcal{Q}_E(f) \subset \Omega(f)$.

We next define the dilation operators on E. If $\tau > 0$ and $I = (0, \infty)$ the dilation operator σ_τ is defined by setting

$$(\sigma_\tau(f))(s) = f(s/\tau), \qquad s > 0.$$

In the case of the interval $(0, 1)$ the operator σ_τ is defined by

$$(\sigma_\tau f)(s) = \begin{cases} f(s/\tau), & s \leq \min\{1, \tau\} \\ 0, & \tau < s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The operators σ_τ $(\tau \geq 1)$ satisfy semi-group property $\sigma_{\tau_1}\sigma_{\tau_2} = \sigma_{\tau_1\tau_2}$. If E is a symmetric space and if $\tau > 0$, then the dilation operator σ_τ is a bounded operator on E and

$$||\sigma_\tau||_{E \to E} \leq \max\{1, \tau\}.$$

If E is a fully symmetric function space on $(0, \infty)$ then $E + L_\infty$ is also a fully symmetric function space under the norm

$$\|f\|_{E+L_\infty} = \|f^*\chi_{(0,1)}\|_E.$$

The next Lemma will be used later.

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be a symmetric function space on $(0, \infty)$, such that $E \setminus L_1 \neq \emptyset$, and suppose $f \in L_1 \cap E$. Then*

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f)\|_E = \lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f)\|_{E+L_\infty}.$$

Proof. We may suppose f is nonnegative and decreasing. Let $\varphi = \varphi_E$ be the fundamental function of E and let ψ be its least concave majorant of φ. Since $E \setminus L_1 \neq \emptyset$ we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} \psi'(t) = 0$. For any $\tau > 1$ we have, using Theorem II.5.5 of [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\sigma_\tau f)\chi_{(1,\infty)}\|_E &\leq \|f(\tau^{-1})\chi_{(0,1)} + (\sigma_\tau f)\chi_{(1,\infty)}\|_E \\ &\leq f(\tau^{-1}) \int_0^1 \psi'(s)\, ds + \int_1^\infty \psi'(s) f(\tau^{-1}s)\, ds \\ &\leq \psi(1) f(\tau^{-1}) + \tau \int_{\tau^{-1}}^\infty \psi'(\tau s) f(s)\, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have, since $f \in L_1$,

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1} f(\tau^{-1}) = 0$$

and by the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \int_{\tau^{-1}}^\infty \psi'(\tau s) f(s)\, ds = \lim_{\tau\to\infty} \int_0^\infty \chi_{(\tau^{-1},\infty)}(s) \psi'(\tau s) f(s)\, ds = 0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1}\|(\sigma_\tau f)\chi_{(1,\infty)}\|_E = 0$$

and the Lemma follows. $\square$

We next discuss the corresponding notions for sequence spaces. If $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence then ξ^* denotes its decreasing rearrangement:

$$\xi_n^* = \inf_{|\mathbb{A}|=n-1} \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\mathbb{A}} |\xi_k|.$$

A Banach sequence space E is called *symmetric* if $\xi \in E$ and $\eta^* \le \xi^*$ implies that $\eta \in E$ and $\|\eta\|_E \le \|\xi\|_E$. We write $\eta \preceq \xi$ if

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k^* \le \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^*, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

E is called *fully symmetric* if $\xi \in E$ and $\eta \preceq \xi$ implies that $\eta \in E$ and $\|\eta\|_E \le \|\xi\|_E$. If ξ is any bounded sequence we define its orbit $\Omega(\xi) = \{\eta : \eta \preceq \xi\}$.

In this context, we define the dilation operators σ_m only for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sigma_m(\xi) = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_2, \xi_3 \ldots)$$

where each ξ_j is repeated m times.

3. Approximation of the orbit

Our first proposition gives a simple criterion which will enable us to check when $\mathcal{Q}_E(f) = \Omega(f)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let E be a fully symmetric Banach space on $(0,\infty)$. Suppose f, g are nonnegative decreasing functions in E. Then $g \in \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ if and only if, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a nonnegative decreasing function $h \in E$ and an integer p such that $0 \le h \le g$ and*

$$\|g - h\|_E < \epsilon \tag{6}$$

and

$$\int_{pa}^b h(t)\,dt \le \int_a^b f(t)\,dt, \qquad 0 < pa < b < \infty. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Suppose first $g \in \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$. Then given $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $f_1, \ldots, f_p \in E$ such that $f_j^* \le f$ for $1 \le j \le p$ and

$$\|g - \frac{1}{p}(f_1 + \cdots + f_p)\|_E < \epsilon.$$

Let

$$u = \frac{1}{p}(f_1 + \cdots + f_p), \quad v = \frac{1}{p}(|f_1| + \cdots + |f_p|).$$

Then if $h = g \wedge v^*$, using (5)

$$\|g - h\|_E \leq \|g - g \wedge u^*\|_E \leq \|g - u^*\|_E \leq \|g - u\|_E < \epsilon.$$

It remains to observe that (7) holds by Lemma 4.1 of [8].

The converse is easy. If h satisfies (6) and (7) then $h \in \alpha\mathcal{Q}(f)$ for every $\alpha > 1$ by Theorem 6.3 of [8]. Hence $h \in \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ and so $d(g, \mathcal{Q}_E(f)) < \epsilon$. $\square$

The next Lemma is surely well-known but we use it in the main result and include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 3.2. *Let F be a continuous nonnegative increasing concave function on $[0, \infty)$ with $F(0) = 0$. Let us suppose that $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an increasing doubly infinite sequence of distinct positive reals with*

$$\lim_{n\to-\infty} \alpha_n = 0, \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = \infty.$$

Suppose that $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is any sequence with

$$0 \leq \beta_n \leq F(\alpha_n), \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(i) There is a least concave function G on $[0, \infty)$ such that $G(0) \geq 0$, and $G(\alpha_n) \geq \beta_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. G is continuous nonnegative and increasing and $G(0) = 0$.

(ii) Furthermore if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then either

$$G(t) = G(\alpha_n)t/\alpha_n, \qquad 0 \leq t \leq \alpha_n$$

or there exists $m < n$ so that

$$G(t) = \beta_m + \frac{G(\alpha_n) - \beta_m}{\alpha_n - \alpha_m}(t - \alpha_m), \qquad \alpha_m \leq t \leq \alpha_n.$$

Proof. (i) is almost immediate. G is defined as the infimum of the collection $\mathcal{C}$ of all increasing concave functions H on $[0, \infty)$ such that $H(\alpha_n) \geq \beta_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $H(0) \geq 0$. This collection is non-empty since $F \in \mathcal{C}$. G is affine on each interval $[\alpha_n, \beta_{n+1}]$ and since $G \leq F$, G is continuous at 0.

For (ii), assume G is not affine on $[0, \alpha_n]$. Then there exists a least $p < n$ so that g is affine on $[\alpha_p, \alpha_n]$. Let G_0 be the function equal to G on $[0, \alpha_{p-1}]$ and $[\alpha_n, \infty)$ and affine on $[\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_n]$. Then for any $0 < \lambda < 1$ we have $(1 - \lambda)G + \lambda G_0 \notin \mathcal{C}$. Hence there exists $k(\lambda) \in \{p, p+1, \ldots, n-1\}$ so that

$$(1 - \lambda)G(\alpha_{k(\lambda)}) + \lambda G_0(\alpha_{k(\lambda)}) < \beta_{k(\lambda)}.$$

Letting $\lambda \to 0$ through as a suitable sequence where $k(\lambda) = m < n$ is constant we obtain $G(\alpha_m) = \beta_m$ and the second alternative holds. $\square$

We now prove our main result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let E be a fully symmetric Banach function space on $(0,\infty)$ with Fatou norm. Suppose $f \in E_+ \setminus L_1$ is such that $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$. Then*

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f^*)\|_E = 0.$$

Proof. We may suppose that f is decreasing. We let

$$F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)\,ds.$$

Let us define a doubly infinite sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ by $F(a_n) = (5/4)^n$.

We next introduce the family $\mathcal{K}$ of doubly infinite sequences $\kappa = (\kappa_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that either $\kappa_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le \kappa_n < a_{n+1}/a_n$ or $\kappa_n = \infty$. Then $\mathcal{K}$ is a complete lattice under the order $\kappa \le \kappa'$ if $\kappa_n \le \kappa'_n$ for all n. We may define the lattice operations $(\kappa \vee \kappa')_n = \max(\kappa_n, \kappa'_n)$ and $(\kappa\wedge\kappa')_n = \min(\kappa_n,\kappa'_n)$.

For each $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ we define $\psi_\kappa \in E$ as follows. Let $\Psi(t) = \Psi_\kappa(t)$ be the least increasing concave function such that $\Psi(0) \ge 0$,

$$\Psi(\kappa_n a_n) \ge F(a_n), \qquad \text{if } \kappa_n < \infty,$$

and

$$\Psi(a_n) \ge 0 \qquad \text{if } \kappa_n = \infty.$$

The existence and properties of Ψ are guaranteed by applying Lemma 3.2 when $\alpha_n = \kappa_n a_n$ if $\kappa_n < \infty$ and $\alpha_n = a_n$ if $\kappa_n = \infty$ and $\beta_n = F(a_n)$ if $\kappa_n < \infty$ and $\beta_n = 0$ if $\kappa_n = \infty$. Since $F(a_n) \le F(\kappa_n a_n)$ it is clear from Lemma 3.2 that Ψ exists and $\Psi \le F$. Furthermore Ψ is piecewise affine on $(0,\infty)$ and we may define $\psi_\kappa = \Psi'$ is a nonnegative piecewise constant decreasing function on $(0,\infty)$. Clearly $\psi_\kappa \in \Omega(f) \subset E$.

We note some elementary properties of the map $\kappa \to \psi_\kappa$.

Lemma 3.4.

(8) $$\psi_\kappa \preceq \psi_{\kappa'}, \qquad \textit{if } \kappa' \le \kappa$$

(9) $$\psi_{\kappa\wedge\kappa'} \preceq \psi_\kappa \vee \psi_{\kappa'}, \qquad \kappa,\kappa' \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Proof. (8) is quite trivial.

To see (9) note that

$$\int_0^t \max(\psi_\kappa(s), \psi_{\kappa'}(s))\,ds \ge \max(\Psi_\kappa(t), \Psi_{\kappa'}(t)).$$

Now if $\kappa_n \wedge \kappa'_n < \infty$ and $\kappa_n \le \kappa'_n$ we have

$$\int_0^{\kappa_n a_n} \max(\psi_\kappa(s), \psi_{\kappa'}(s))\,ds \ge \Psi_\kappa(\kappa_n a_n) \ge F(a_n)$$

and with a similar inequality when $\kappa'_n < \kappa_n$ we obtain, from the definition of $\Psi_{\kappa\wedge\kappa'}$,

$$\int_0^t \max(\psi_\kappa(s), \psi_{\kappa'}(s))\,ds \geq \Psi_{\kappa\wedge\kappa'}(t), \qquad 0 \leq t < \infty.$$

This proves (9). ☐

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfies*

$$\max(\kappa_n, \kappa_{n+1}) = \infty, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{10}$$

Then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\kappa_n < \infty$ we have:

$$\psi_\kappa(t) \geq \frac{9F(a_n)}{25\kappa_n a_n} \qquad a_n \leq t \leq \kappa_n a_n. \tag{11}$$

Proof. If f is not identically zero then ψ_κ is only identically zero when κ is identiclaly ∞; we exclude this case so that $\Psi_\kappa(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$. Observe first that ψ_κ is constant on $(a_n, \kappa_n a_n)$. If for every $m < n$ such that $\kappa_m < \infty$ we have $\Psi_\kappa(\kappa_m a_m) > F(a_m)$ then

$$\psi_\kappa(t) \geq \frac{F(a_n)}{\kappa_n a_n}, \qquad 0 < t \leq \kappa_n a_n.$$

Otherwise, since $\Psi_\kappa(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$, we have that, by Lemma 3.2, there exists $m < n$ so that $\kappa_m < \infty$ and

$$\psi_\kappa(t) = \frac{\Psi_\kappa(\kappa_n a_n) - F(a_m)}{\kappa_n a_n - \kappa_m a_m}, \qquad \kappa_m a_m < t < \kappa_n a_n.$$

Then

$$\psi_\kappa(t) \geq \frac{F(a_n) - F(a_m)}{\kappa_n a_n - \kappa_m a_m}, \qquad a_n \leq t \leq \kappa_n a_n.$$

Noting that $m \leq n-2$ by (10) so that $F(a_m) \leq (4/5)^2 F(a_n)$ this implies that (11) holds for either alternative. ☐

For $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we will define a $\kappa^{[r]} \geq \kappa$ by suppressing the values of κ which are less than r. Precisely:

$$\kappa_n^{[r]} = \begin{cases} \kappa_n, & \text{if } \kappa_n \geq r \\ \infty & \text{if } \kappa_n < r. \end{cases}$$

We next prove the following Lemma, which is the heart of the argument for Theorem 3.3:

Lemma 3.6. *Under the hypotheses of the theorem, we have that for any $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \|\psi_{\kappa^{[r]}}\|_E = 0.$$

Proof. We will first prove the Lemma under the additional assumption that (10) holds. Since $\|\psi_{\kappa^{[r]}}\|_E$ is decreasing in r (by (8)) it suffices to show that for given $\epsilon > 0$ we can find r so that $\|\psi_{\kappa^{[r]}}\|_E < \epsilon$. By Proposition 3.1 for any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a nonnegative decreasing function $h \le \psi_\kappa$ and an integer p so that

$$\int_{pa}^{b} h(t)\,dt \le \int_{a}^{b} f(t)\,dt, \qquad 0 < pa < b < \infty, \tag{12}$$

and

$$\|\psi_\kappa - h\|_E < \epsilon/10. \tag{13}$$

We shall take $r = 36p$. Let $v = 10(\psi_\kappa - h)$. We will show that $\psi_{\kappa^{[r]}} \preceq v$. In order to do this we must show that if $\kappa_n^{[r]} < \infty$ we have

$$F(a_n) \le \int_0^{\kappa_n a_n} v^*(t)\,dt. \tag{14}$$

If $\kappa_n^{[r]} < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\kappa_n a_n} v^*(t)\,dt &\ge \int_{pa_n}^{\kappa_n a_n} v(t)\,dt \\ &= 10\left(\int_{pa_n}^{\kappa_n a_n} \psi_\kappa(t)\,dt - \int_{pa_n}^{\kappa_n a_n} h(t)\,dt\right) \\ &\ge 10\left(\int_{pa_n}^{\kappa_n a_n} \psi_\kappa(t)\,dt - \int_{a_n}^{\kappa_n a_n} f(t)\,dt\right),\end{aligned}$$

by (13). Hence by (11) of Lemma 3.5

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\kappa_n a_n} v^*(t)\,dt &\ge 10\left(\frac{9(\kappa_n a_n - pa_n)F(a_n)}{25\kappa_n a_n} - \int_{a_n}^{a_{n+1}} f(t)\,dt\right) \\ &\ge 10\left(\frac{35}{36}\frac{9}{25}F(a_n) - \frac{1}{4}F(a_n)\right) \\ &= F(a_n).\end{aligned}$$

This show that (14) holds and so $\psi_{\kappa^{[r]}} \preceq v$ and $\|\psi_{\kappa^{[r]}}\|_E < \epsilon$. This completes the proof when (10) holds.

For the general case let us introduce $\kappa(0)_n = \kappa_n$ if n is even and $\kappa(0)_n = \infty$ if n is odd. Similarly $\kappa(1)_n = \kappa_n$ if n is odd and $\kappa(1)_n = \infty$ if n is even. Both $\kappa(0)$ and $\kappa(1)$ satisfy (10) Then for an arbitrary κ we have $\kappa^{[r]} = \kappa(0)^{[r]} \wedge \kappa(1)^{[r]}$ and so by (9),

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \|\psi_{\kappa^{[r]}}\|_E \le \limsup_{r\to\infty} \|\psi_{\kappa(0)^{[r]}}\|_E + \limsup_{r\to\infty} \|\psi_{\kappa(1)^{[r]}}\|_E = 0.$$

□

Next for any integer p we define $\gamma_n^p = p$ if $pa_n < a_{n+1}$ and $\gamma_n^p = \infty$ otherwise. For each $q > p$ we define $\gamma_n^{p,q} = p$ if $pa_n < a_{n+1}$ and $|n| \le q$ and $\gamma_n^{p,q} = \infty$ otherwise. Let $\psi_p = \psi_{\gamma^p}$ and $\psi_{p,q} = \psi_{\gamma^{p,q}}$.

Lemma 3.7. *Under the hypotheses of the Theorem,*

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \|\psi_p\|_E = 0.$$

Proof. Clearly $\|\psi_p\|_E$ is decreasing in p. Assume $\|\psi_p\|_E > \epsilon > 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Since E has a Fatou norm for each p there exists $q(p) > p$ so that $\|\psi_{p,q(p)}\|_E > \epsilon$. Let

$$\kappa = \wedge_p \gamma^{p,q(p)}.$$

Thus κ is given by the formula

$$\kappa_n = \inf\{p : \ p < a_{n+1}/a_n, \ |n| \le q(p)\}$$

and κ has the properties that $\kappa \le \gamma_{p,q(p)}$ for all p and $\lim_{|n|\to\infty} \kappa_n = \infty$.

By Lemma 3.6 there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\|\psi_{\kappa^{[r]}}\|_E < \epsilon$. But then the set $\{n : \kappa_n < r\}$ is finite and so there is a choice of p such that $p > a_{n+1}/a_n$ whenever $\kappa_n < r$. Thus $\gamma_n^p = \infty$ if $\kappa_n < r$. Thus

$$\kappa^{[r]} \le \gamma^{p,q(p)}$$

and so by (8),

$$\|\psi_{p,q(p)}\|_E < \epsilon$$

which gives a contradiction. □

We now can complete the proof of the Theorem. We will show that if $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F(t) \le \frac{4}{5}F(p^2t) + \frac{5}{4}\int_0^{p^2t} \psi_p(s)\,ds, \qquad 0 < t < \infty. \tag{15}$$

Indeed if (15) fails for some t we can assume $a_n \le t < a_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We first argue that $a_{n+1} \le pa_n$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $a_{n+1} > pa_n$. Then we have

$$\frac{5}{4}\int_0^{p^2t} \psi_p(s)\,ds \ge \frac{5}{4}\int_0^{pa_n} \psi_p(s)\,ds \ge \frac{5}{4}F(a_n) = F(a_{n+1}) \ge F(t),$$

which contradicts our hypothesis. Next we show that $a_{n+2} \le pa_{n+1}$. Indeed if $a_{n+2} > pa_{n+1}$, then $p^2t \ge pa_{n+1}$ and

$$\frac{5}{4}\int_0^{p^2t} \psi_p(s)\,ds \ge \frac{5}{4}\int_0^{pa_{n+1}} \psi_p(s)\,ds \ge \frac{5}{4}F(a_{n+1}) > F(t).$$

But then $a_{n+2} \le p^2a_n$ and so

$$\frac{4}{5}F(p^2t) \ge \frac{4}{5}F(a_{n+2}) = F(a_{n+1}) > F(t)$$

and we have a contradiction. This establishes (15).

Now if $\tau \geq 1$ we replace t in (15) by t/τ and interpret the inequality in the form:

$$\frac{1}{\tau}\sigma_\tau f \preceq \frac{1}{p^{-2}\tau}\sigma_{p^{-2}\tau}((4/5)f + (5/4)\psi_p).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau f\|_E \leq (4/5)\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau f\|_E + (5/4)\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau\psi_p\|_E$$

so that

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau f\|_E \leq (5^2/4)\|\psi_p\|_E.$$

Combining with Lemma 3.7 we obtain the theorem. ☐

The case when $f \in L_1$ is handled by reduction to the previous case:

Theorem 3.8. *Let E be a fully symmetric Banach function space on $(0,\infty)$ with a Fatou norm. Suppose f is a decreasing nonnegative function such that $f \in E_+ \cap L_1$ and $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$. Then*

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f^*)\|_{E+L_\infty} = 0.$$

Proof. An easy computation shows that $\mathcal{Q}(f+1) = \mathcal{Q}(f) + \mathcal{Q}(1)$. Hence $\mathcal{Q}_{E+L_\infty}(f+1) \supset \mathcal{Q}_E(f) + \mathcal{Q}_{L_\infty}(1) = \Omega(f) + \Omega(1)$. If $0 \leq g \in \Omega(f+1)$ then $g - g\wedge 1 \in \Omega(f)$ and $g \wedge 1 \in \Omega(1)$ so that $\Omega(f) + \Omega(1) = \Omega(f+1)$. Hence $\mathcal{Q}_{E+L_\infty}(f+1) = \Omega(f+1)$ and we can apply Theorem 3.3. ☐

4. The main results

We can next state our main results:

Theorem 4.1. *Let E be a fully symmetric Banach function space on $(0,\infty)$ with a Fatou norm, and such that $E \setminus L_1 \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $f \in E$. Then $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ if and only if $\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f^*)\|_E = 0$.*

Proof. If $\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f^*)\|_E = 0$ then $\Omega_+(f) \subset \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ by Theorem 25 of [14]; thus $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$. Conversely if $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ we have either

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f)\|_E = 0$$

(when $f \notin L_1$ by Theorem 3.3) or

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f)\|_{E+L_\infty} = 0$$

(when $f \in L_1$ by Theorem 3.8). Then Lemma 2.1 shows that in both cases we have $\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f)\|_E = 0$. ☐

Theorem 4.2. *Let E be a fully symmetric Banach function space on $(0,\infty)$ with a Fatou norm, and such that $E \subset L_1$. If $f \in E$ then $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ if and only if* $\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f^*)\|_{E+L_\infty} = \lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f^*)\chi_{(0,1)}\|_E = 0$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 4.1 using instead Theorem 24 of [14]. □

We first give the extension to function spaces on $(0,1)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let E be a fully symmetric Banach function space on $(0,1)$ with a Fatou norm. Suppose $f \in E$. Then $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ if and only if* $\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau(f^*)\|_E = 0$.

Proof. We define F to be the function space on $(0,\infty)$ defined by $f \in F$ if and only if $f^*\chi_{(0,1)} \in E$ and $f \in L_1$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_F = \max(\|f^*\chi_{(0,1)}\|_E, \|f^*\|_{L_1}).$$

Suppose $f \in E$ is nonnegative and decreasing. We will show that, regarding f as an member of F, we have $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_F(f)$. Note that the hypothesis $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_E(f)$ on $(0,1)$ implies only that if $g \in F$ and $g \in \Omega(f)$ then $g \in \mathcal{Q}_F(f)$ provided $\mu(\operatorname{supp} g) \le 1$. We will show, however, that $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_F(f)$ and then the Theorem follows.

We will need the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $h \in F$ be nonnegative and decreasing. Suppose $g \in F$ is nonnegative and decreasing and satisfies the conditions such that $g \preceq h$ and $g(x) = 0$ for some $0 < x < \infty$. If there exists $c > 0$ so that $g(t) \le h(t)$ for $0 < t \le c$ then $g \in \mathcal{Q}_F(h)$.*

Proof. For any $\theta > 1$ we may pick $p > x/c$ so that

$$\int_0^c h(s)\,ds \le \theta \int_{x/p}^c h(s)\,ds.$$

Then if $0 < pa < b < \infty$ with $c \le b \le x$ we have

$$\int_{pa}^b g(s)\,ds \le \int_0^b h(s)\,ds \le \theta \int_{x/p}^b h(s)\,ds \le \theta \int_{pa}^b h(s)\,ds.$$

The same inequality holds trivially if $b > x$ or $b < c$. Thus by Theorem 6.3 of [8] we have $g \in \lambda Q(h)$ for any $\lambda > 1$ and the Lemma follows. □

We continue the proof of the theorem. We will assume, without loss of generality that $\int_0^1 f(t)\,dt = 1$. First suppose $g \in \Omega(f)$ is nonnegative, not identically zero, and decreasing and satisfies $g(x)=0$ for some $0<x<\infty$. Given $\epsilon>0$ we may find $c_0>0$ so that

$$\int_0^{c_0} f(s)\,ds<\epsilon/2.$$

Let

$$\alpha=\sup_{0<t\le c_0}\frac{\int_0^t g(s)\,ds}{\int_0^t f(s)\,ds}.$$

We have $\alpha>0$ and we may pick $0<\beta<\alpha$ with $(\alpha-\beta)<\epsilon/2$ and then $0<c<c_0$ with

$$g(c)>\beta f(c).$$

Let $c'\ge c$ be the least solution of

$$\alpha\int_0^{c'} f(s)\,ds=\int_0^c g(s)\,ds+(c'-c)g(c).$$

We now define

$$h(t)=\begin{cases} g(t)+(1-\alpha)f(t), & 0<t\le c\\ g(c)+(1-\alpha)f(t), & c<t\le \min(c',1)\\ f(t), & \min(c',1)<t\le 1\\ 0 & t\ge 1.\end{cases}$$

From the construction we have $h\in\Omega(f)$. Thus $h\in\mathcal{Q}_F(f)$. For any $t\le\min(c',1)$ we have

$$\int_0^t g(s)\,ds\le\int_0^t h(s)\,ds.$$

If $c'<1$ then

$$\int_0^t h(s)\,ds=\int_0^t f(s)\,ds\ge\int_0^t g(s)\,ds,\qquad t>c'.$$

If $c'\ge 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 h(s)\,ds &\ge (1-\alpha)\int_0^1 f(s)\,ds+\int_0^c g(s)\,ds+g(c)(1-c)\\ &\ge (1-\alpha)\int_0^1 f(s)\,ds+\beta\int_0^c f(s)\,ds-\epsilon/2+\beta f(c)(1-c)\\ &\ge (1-\alpha)\int_0^1 f(s)\,ds+\beta\int_0^1 f(s)\,ds-\epsilon/2\\ &\ge 1-\epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1-\epsilon)g\preceq h$ and by Lemma 4.4 we have $(1-\epsilon)g\in\mathcal{Q}_F(h)$. Since $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary we have $g\in\mathcal{Q}_F(h)\subset\mathcal{Q}_F(f)$.

Finally let us note for general nonnegative decreasing $g\in F$ we have $\lim_{m\to\infty}\|g-g\chi_{(0,m)}\|_F=0$ so that we have $\Omega_F(f)=\mathcal{Q}_F(f)$.

Now the result reduces to Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. ☐

The extension to sequence spaces requires similar type of argument:

Theorem 4.5. *Let E be a fully symmetric Banach sequence space with a Fatou norm and such that $E \setminus \ell_1 \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\xi \in E$. Then $\Omega(\xi) = \mathcal{Q}_E(\xi)$ if and only if* $\lim_{m\to\infty} m^{-1}\|\sigma_m(\xi^*)\|_E = 0$.

Proof. We consider the Banach function space F of all bounded functions such that $(f^*(0), f^*(1), \ldots) \in E$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_F = f^*(0) + \|(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_E,$$

where $f^*(0) = \|f\|_{L_\infty}$ and $a_n := \int_{n-1}^n f^*(s)ds$, $n \geq 1$. Then let $F(\mathbb{N})$ be the subspace of F of all functions f which are constant on each interval $(n-1, n]$. Clearly, the Banach spaces $(F(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_F)$ and $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ are linearly isomorphic, in particular

$$\|\xi\|_E \leq \|\xi\|_F \leq 2\|\xi\|_E, \quad \forall \xi \in E = F(\mathbb{N}).$$

Let $\mathbb{E}$ denote the conditional expectation operator

$$\mathbb{E}f = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \chi_{(n-1,n]} \int_{n-1}^n f(t)\,dt.$$

Suppose ξ is a nonnegative decreasing sequence and let

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \xi_j \chi_{(j-1,j]} \in F.$$

The result will follow from:

Theorem 4.6. $\Omega(\xi) = \mathcal{Q}_E(\xi)$ *if and only if* $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_F(f)$.

Proof. Let us suppose that $\Omega(\xi) = \mathcal{Q}_E(\xi)$. We may suppose ξ has infinite support. Suppose $g \in \Omega(f)$ is nonnegative and decreasing; we will show that $g \in \mathcal{Q}_F(f)$ and then it follows that $\mathcal{Q}_F(f) = \Omega(f)$.

Suppose $\epsilon > 0$. Then we may pick an integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$g^*(m) - \lim_{n\to\infty} g^*(n) < \epsilon/4.$$

Now $\mathbb{E}g \in \mathcal{Q}_F(f)$ since $\Omega(\xi) = \mathcal{Q}_E(\xi)$. Hence, by Proposition 3.1 there is a nonnegative decreasing function h with $0 \leq h \leq \mathbb{E}g$ such that $\|\mathbb{E}g - h\|_E < \epsilon/4$ and such that for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{pa}^b h(s)\,ds \leq \int_a^b f(s)\,ds \qquad 0 < pa < b < \infty.$$

Next we define

$$\varphi(s) = \begin{cases} g(s), & 0 < s \leq m \\ h(s), & m < s < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Note that $0 \leq \varphi \preceq g \preceq f$. We show that $\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}_F(f)$. Let us suppose $r > p$ and that $0 < ra < b$. Then if $m \leq ra$ we clearly have

$$\int_{ra}^{b} \varphi(s)\,ds \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(s)\,ds.$$

On the other hand if $0 < ra < m$, let $c = \min(b, m)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{ra}^{b} \varphi(s)\,ds &\leq \int_{0}^{b} f(s)\,ds \\ &\leq \int_{a}^{b} f(s)\,ds + c\xi_1/r \\ &\leq \int_{a}^{b} f(s)\,ds + \frac{\xi_1}{(r-1)\xi_m} \int_{c/r}^{c} f(s)\,ds \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{\xi_1}{(r-1)\xi_m}\right) \int_{a}^{b} f(s)\,ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since r is arbitrary these estimate show that $\varphi \in \lambda\mathcal{Q}(f)$ for every $\lambda > 1$ (Theorem 6.4 of [8]) and hence $\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}_F(f)$.

Now

$$\|g - \varphi\|_F = \|(g - \varphi)\chi_{(m,\infty)}\|_F \leq \|(g - \mathbb{E}g)\chi_{(m,\infty)}\|_F + \|\mathbb{E}g - h\|_F.$$

However,

$$\|(g - \mathbb{E}g)\chi_{(m,\infty)}\|_F \leq \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} 2(g(j) - g(j+1)) < \epsilon/2.$$

Hence

$$d(g, \mathcal{Q}_E(f)) \leq \|g - \varphi\|_F < \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we have $g \in \mathcal{Q}_F(f)$. This shows that $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_F(f)$.

We next turn to the converse. Assume $\mathcal{Q}_E(f) = \Omega(f)$ and that $\eta \in \Omega(\xi)$ is a decreasing sequence. Let $g = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \eta_n \chi_{(n-1,n]}$. Then $g \in \Omega(f)$ and so, by Proposition 3.1, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a decreasing $0 \leq h \leq g$ with $\|g - h\|_F < \epsilon$ and such that for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\int_{pa}^{b} h(s)\,ds \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(s)\,ds, \qquad 0 < pa < b < \infty.$$

Let $\zeta \in E$ be defined by $\zeta_n = \int_{n-1}^{n} h(s)\,ds$. Then for $0 \leq pm \leq n$ we have

$$\sum_{k=pm+1}^{n} \zeta_k = \int_{pm}^{n} h(s)\,ds \leq \int_{m}^{n} f(s)\,ds = \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \xi_k.$$

Hence $\zeta \in \lambda\mathcal{Q}(\xi)$ for every $\lambda > 1$, by Theorem 5.5 of [8], so that $\zeta \in \mathcal{Q}_E(\xi)$. Furthermore,

$$\|\eta - \zeta\|_E \leq \|g - \mathbb{E}h\|_F \leq \|g - h\|_F < \epsilon.$$

It now follows that $\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_E(\xi)$ and the proof of the Lemma is complete. $\square$

Theorem 4.5 now follows directly from Theorem 4.6. $\square$

Let us observe that the argument of Theorem 4.6 allows us to complete the picture for positive orbits in [14]:

Theorem 4.7. *Let E be a fully symmetric sequence space with Fatou norm. Then for any $\xi \in E_+$ the set $\Omega_+(\xi) = \Omega(\xi) \cap E_+$ coincides with the closed convex hull of its extreme points if and only if*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} m^{-1}\|\sigma_m(\xi)\|_E = 0.$$

In fact we can prove by the same argument as in Theorem 4.6 that $\Omega_+(\xi) = \mathcal{Q}_E(\xi) \cap E_+$ if and only if $\Omega_+(f) = \mathcal{Q}_F(f) \cap F_+$.

We remark that in [14] some examples of Marcinkiewicz spaces and Orlicz spaces are discussed in the context of Theorems 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.5. We refer the reader to [14] for details. We take the opportunity to improve Proposition 33 of [14]:

Proposition 4.8. *Let M be an Orlicz function. Then for any $f \in L_M(0,\infty)$ we have $\Omega(f) = \mathcal{Q}_{L_M}(f)$. Similarly for for any $\xi \in \ell_M$ we have $\Omega(\xi) = \mathcal{Q}_{\ell_M}(\xi)$.*

Proof. We give the proof only for $L_M(0,\infty)$. Suppose first that $M(t) = o(t)$ when $t \to 0$. We show that $\|\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1}\sigma_\tau f\|_{L_M} = 0$ whenever $f \in (L_M)_+$. Suppose $\alpha > 0$.

$$\int_0^\infty M\left(\frac{\alpha f(s/\tau)}{\tau}\right) ds = \int_0^\infty \tau M\left(\frac{\alpha f(s)}{\tau}\right) ds$$

for any $\tau > 1$. Since $f \in L_M$ there exists τ_0 so that

$$\int_0^\infty \tau_0 M\left(\frac{\alpha f(s)}{\tau_0}\right) ds < \infty.$$

Now letting $\tau \to \infty$ we obtain from the Dominated Convergence Theorem that

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \int_0^\infty \tau M\left(\frac{\alpha f(s)}{\tau}\right) ds = 0$$

so that $\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-1}\|\sigma_\tau f\|_{L_M} = 0$ and we can apply Theorem 4.1.

Now if $M(t) \geq ct$ for all $t > 0$ where $c > 0$ we have $L_M \subset L_1$. For any $\alpha > 0$ and $\tau > 1$

$$\int_0^1 M\left(\frac{\alpha f^*(s/\tau)}{\tau}\right) ds = \int_0^1 \tau\chi_{(0,\tau^{-1})}(s) M\left(\frac{\alpha f^*(s)}{\tau}\right) ds.$$

As before the right-hand side is integrable for some $\tau = \tau_0$ and we can apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem to deduce that $\tau^{-1}\|(\sigma_\tau f^*)\chi_{(0,1)}\|_{L_M}$ tends to 0 as τ approaches infinity. Now one can apply Theorem 4.2. $\square$

5. A NONCOMMUTATIVE ANALOG

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable complex Hilbert space. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the space of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ the ideal of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$. For any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we define the singular values

$$s_n(T) = \inf\{\|T(I-P)\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all orthogonal projections P such that $\operatorname{rank}(P) < n\}$.

If E is a symmetric sequence space then we can define a Banach ideal of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$ by $T \in \mathcal{S}_E$ if and only if $(s_k(T))_{k=1}^\infty \in E$ and then the norm is given by $\|T\|_E = \|(s_k(T))_{k=1}^\infty\|_E$. For fully symmetric spaces this is well-known (e.g. see [7] but for symmetric spaces it follows from [8]).

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space and suppose $T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\mathcal{Q}(T)$ be the convex hull of the set $\{ATB;\ \|A\|, \|B\| \le 1\}$. We define its orbit $\Omega(T)$ to be the closure of $\mathcal{Q}(T)$ in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. It is easy to check from the definition that $R \in \Omega(T)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^n s_k(R) \le \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(T), \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For any symmetric Banach sequence space E we may define $\mathcal{Q}_E(T)$ to be the closure of $\mathcal{Q}(T)$ in $\mathcal{S}_E$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a fully symmetric sequence space with a Fatou norm. Suppose $\mathcal{S}_E$ is the corresponding ideal of compact operators. Then for $T \in \mathcal{S}_E$ we have $\Omega(T) = \mathcal{Q}_E(T)$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} m^{-1}\|\sigma_m(s_k(T))_{k=1}^\infty\|_E = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\xi = (s_k(T))_{k=1}^\infty$. Let $R \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\eta = (s_k(R))_{k=1}^\infty$. If $R \in \mathcal{Q}(T)$ then it follows from Proposition 8.6 and Theorem 5.5 of [8] that $\eta \in \lambda\mathcal{Q}(\xi)$ for every $\lambda > 1$.

First suppose that $\Omega(T) = \mathcal{Q}_E(T)$. If $S \in \Omega(T)$ then given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $R \in \mathcal{Q}(T)$ with $\|R - S\|_E < \epsilon$. Let $\zeta = (s_k(S))_{k=1}^\infty$. Then by the submajorization inequality of [5],

$$\eta - \zeta \preceq (s_k(R-S))_{k=1}^\infty$$

so that $\|\eta - \zeta\|_E < \epsilon$. Since $\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_E(\xi)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this implies that $\zeta \in \mathcal{Q}_E(\xi)$ and so $\mathcal{Q}_E(\xi) = \Omega(\xi)$. Theorem 4.5 can then be applied.

The converse direction is immediate. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 129, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.

[2] M. Š. Braverman and A. A. Mekler, *The Hardy-Littlewood property for symmetric spaces*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **18** (1977), 522–540, 717 (Russian).

[3] A.-P. Calderón, *Spaces between L^1 and L^∞ and the theorem of Marcinkiewicz*, Studia Math. **26** (1966), 273–299.

[4] V. I. Chilin, A. V. Krygin, and F. A. Sukochev, *Extreme points of convex fully symmetric sets of measurable operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **15** (1992), 186–226.

[5] P. G. Dodds, T. K.-Y. Dodds, and B. de Pagter, *A general Markus inequality* (1990), 47–57. Miniconference on Operators in Analysis, Sydney, 1989, Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ., 24, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra.

[6] P. G. Dodds, F. A. Sukochev, and G. Schlüchtermann, *Weak compactness criteria in symmetric spaces of measurable operators*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **131** (2001), 363–384.

[7] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Kreĭn, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969.

[8] N. J. Kalton and F. A. Sukochev, *Symmetric norms and spaces of operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **621** (2008), 81–121.

[9] S. G. Kreĭn, Y. Ī. Petunīn, and E. M. Semënov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1982.

[10] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces, II, Function spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas], vol. 97, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

[11] B. S. Mitjagin, *An interpolation theorem for modular spaces*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **66 (108)** (1965), 473–482 (Russian).

[12] J. V. Ryff, *Orbits of L^1-functions under doubly stochastic transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 92–100.

[13] ______, *Extreme points of some convex subsets of $L^1(0, 1)$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 1026–1034.

[14] F. A. Sukochev and D. Zanin, *Orbits in symmetric spaces*, J. Functional Analysis **257** (2009), 194–218.

Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, Missouri 65211, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `kaltonn@missouri.edu`

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia
E-mail address: `f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au`

School of Computer Science, Engineering and Mathematics, Flinders University, Adelaide, SA 5042, Australia
E-mail address: `zani0005@csem.flinders.edu.au`